

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/536,899	BESWICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
EMILY BERNHARDT	1624		

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) EMILY BERNHARDT.

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Wolfe.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Emily Bernhardt/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initially left Ms. Wolfe a message that claim 28 was an improper multiple dependent claim and thus needs to be completely rewritten. Ms. Wolfe indicated on 4/27 that a supplemental AF response will be filed presenting a new claim and cancelling claim 28. On 4/30 the examiner left a message that some typos were noted in new claim 29, namely an "is" is needed on p.6 after "group which" and on p.7 after "Y" "-NRA" needs to be inserted consistent with remaining claims. Such will be done via an Examiner's Amendment.